

Project Camelot

Kerry Cassidy interviews Catherine Austin Fitts

Published July 5, 2014

Filmed during the Secret Space Program Conference and Breakaway Civilization, June 28-29, 2014 at San Mateo, California

Video: <http://projectcamelotportal.com/2014/07/07/project-camelot-an-interview-with-catherine-austin-fitts-corrected-version/>

The Black Budget

Kerry Cassidy: Hi, I'm Kerry Cassidy from Project Camelot and I'm here with Catherine Austin Fitts. And we're at the Secret Space Program Conference, which is up at San Mateo, California, and it's really in the belly of the beast as far as black projects and Secret Space Program go.

So this is a great honor to be talking to you.

Catherine Austin Fitts: It's a great honor. I've been watching your videos for years.

Kerry: All right, well, thank you. So I guess it's a mutual admiration society, as they say.

I want to say that we just did get a clip with you with Steve Bassett and that's going to kind of predate this on the interview and was some great, authentic sort of life conversational, you know, anecdotal material. So what I want to do here is just back up a little bit. I want you to give your background just for the people that may be new to this and don't know about you. This will be their first exposure.

And then I want to drill down into some things that you've been releasing lately. We're kind of going to leapfrog over a lot of this sort of run of the mill stuff and just jump around a bit because we're probably on limited time here and I'm sure you're tired and you know it's been going on all day.

Catherine: It's great though. It's very energizing.

Kerry: Yeah? Well, all right, cool. You're kind of the center of attention at the moment at the conference, I think. And I'm sure there is a reason for that, because, you know, it's very interesting to be part of this sector as I have for over eight years and what happens with people is that they come onto the scene and especially if you have a background in sort of what we call the mainstream and you are a renegade from the mainstream and you've been pushed out of the game by all the things that have happened to you and radicalized, as they might have said in the 60s.

And so now you are at the point where you really have a view from both ends, you know? And that's very valuable.

Catherine: Yes, I've toured both the overt and the covert economy.

Kerry: There you go. There you go. And you have the open mind to be able to keep inquiring and keep staying open and keep pushing the envelope as well and the courage to do so. So, that combination is a killer combination and we want to pursue all of that with you and see where you've been going and where you might be going next.

So, at this moment, first of all just give yourself a brief introduction.

Catherine: Okay. I grew up in Philadelphia and I went to the University of Pennsylvania. I studied Chinese. And then I went to Wall Street. I went to business school and then I went to Wall Street. Had a very successful career as a member of the board and a partner at Dillon Read and Company. And left there – we sold the firm – and I left and went into the first Bush Administration as Assistant Secretary of Housing and ran smack dab into all the mortgage fraud, which is related to the Black Budget, and I left and started an investment bank.

I left the Bush Administration thinking, 'You know the fascists are going to take this technology and kill us all.'

So I started an investment bank called the Hamilton Securities Group in Washington. And my interest was in figuring out how we could use new technology to help communities basically be financially successful without government money and sort of get the government out of our hair and decentralized - use technology to decentralize the financial system in a very healthy way.

So . . . but, once again, I ended up doing a project. We became lead financial adviser for the Department of Housing and Urban Development and I ended up in a squabble with the federal government. We were making a software tool that would allow you to see all the government money in a community through GIS software.

And the reality, Kerry, is that the Black Budget finances one neighborhood at a time. So transparent cash flows in every county make it much harder to do the Black Projects. So smack, again, we ran into the mortgage fraud.

And I ended up having . . . litigating with the federal government for eleven years. Ultimately, we were successful and I settled the litigation. And in the process, what I discovered . . . I started to do radio shows and the way . . . part of . . . one of the reasons I got into this topic was I got on . . . I was on Coast-to-Coast. And I got to know so many wonderful people and their audience who convinced me, 'Look, you've got to . . . you've got to look at this – even as a financial matter.'

But they all kept coming to me for advice about their money. You know, how do I protect my money from this fraud? And so when I settled the litigation I became an investment adviser. And then we published something called the Solari Report because we get so many questions that we just . . . you know every week we try and do a little piece on . . . and it's mostly, 'How does this impact your health and money and what do you do about it?' So it's a lot about how do I deal this and how do I make sure I'm successful no matter all these things that are going on.

So that's kind of my day job.

Okay, so I have . . . I've watched many of your videos and it's always interesting for me 'cause I know very little about the Secret Space Program. It's almost funny that I'm talking at the Secret Space Program Conference because I know very little . . . You know what I understand is the history of financial fraud and you're always making connections between, you know.

And I'll never forget watching your interview of Norman Bergrun. Is that his name?

Kerry: Bergrun. Yeah.

Catherine: Fascinating, fascinating interview. And he's describing the Voyager Mission and when they started to get the photos back from the Voyager and a chill went down my back because that's when the Iran-Contra financial fraud exploded.

If you look at a lot of the fraud I was cleaning up at HUD, both as the Assistant Secretary of Housing and then as the financial adviser, you know, suddenly you had this fraud explode through the 80s and it's as if somebody blew a whistle and said, 'We need trillions of dollars. Go get any money anyway you can.'

And I watched his explanation of their fears and I thought, 'Oh, I bet there's a connection.'

But my favorite moment on Project Camelot – I just have to tell you – was Bob Dean who's speaking and he said – and a chill went down my spine and then I use this quote all the time – he said, he said, you know, 'We have to bring transparency to this because it is the destiny of the children in my family and the children I love to travel the stars.'

And I just said, 'Ou-ou-ou, okay!' And that quote has inspired me for many years. So, you've got that all on tape. Thank you.

Kerry: That's a beautiful story. Yeah. Beautiful man, as well.

Catherine: Yes, he is.

Kerry: Yeah, okay, so what I want to do here and it's interesting that you bring up Norm Bergun, because I have to say that that's one of our most important interviews in my opinion.

Catherine: Yes.

Kerry: And we have a lot of very important interviews so that is saying something. But this man is . . . I forget his exact age, but he was over 85 - I'm not sure exactly how old – when we interviewed him.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: And he has since disappeared.

Catherine: Really?

Kerry: So what happened is that he was living in a house very close to here in Los Altos Hills. And he was living by himself – his wife had passed – and it was a serendipity that we managed to get in the door and get the interview and I mean, you know, the Force was with us, so to speak.

He had been made an offer by a group that was going to take him. They were building him an underground – as he told us – an underground lab to start doing his, you know, whole work again. So he was very excited. He was still writing a book.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: I mean, he was just this very active, very elderly man with his very wide awake brain. And, ah, and, and, and then what happened is that he said, 'Oh and I'm going to be doing lots of interviews in the public and, you know . . . They're building me a lab and it's all going to be fine,' and I just thought to myself, 'This is, you know, for whom the bell tolls.'

It's basically . . . this man is going to disappear (Right.) off the scene. They're bringing him back inside. And he'd worked underground not far from here in Moffett Field (Right.) on black projects for years and years and years.

And so here it is. He is gone. His phone was disconnected. He has been gone from . . . you know, I can't reach him. (Right.) He's absolutely off the scene since then.

So, you have to say to yourself . . . is like, what happens to these people, you know. This is a black project scientist who was with them for years. He went out to pasture.

I think they probably thought he was dead, but when I did the interview with him the man was alive. He was . . .

Catherine: You know one of the things I've believed for many years is the way I bless people is I connect with them. I become interested in their work and then I call it Mr. Global. Mr. Global buys them away from me and I say, 'This is how I bless people.'

Kerry: Oh, yeah, exactly.

Catherine: So you've lost him.

Kerry: Yeah. . . . well, maybe. But, I mean, they were already on to him, you know, even before I showed up. But still, and he had been. . . it's on the video. He was nearly poisoned. You talked about being poisoned (Right.) a number of times.

And I do want to go down that road, but first I want to ask you a couple key questions. You know, I really want to connect some dots here, and maybe these are crazy connections, but PROMIS software, okay?

Catherine: Very important. What is not understood is how important the digital systems are to permitting massive fraud on a highly centralized basis. You know, the hardest thing for me, when I was dealing with the litigation with the Department of Justice was sitting down and saying, 'How could . . .' You know I've been told about how bad the mortgage fraud was and I didn't believe it.

So can I tell a story?

Kerry: Yeah.

Catherine: Okay, so, one story, and I'll probably tell this tomorrow, was in 19 . . . it was 94 or 95. I had somebody working for me who had been a staff to a senior senator on the Finance Committee, and she came to me and said, 'You know there's a mortgage banker who's just really bugging the senator and really wants an appointment with you.'

Now, I was at Hamilton Securities. I was in private. And, so, finally, I agreed I would meet with this guy.

This guy walks in and he's got these wired glasses and he's very serious and he's got a huge stack of papers and he says to me, 'I'm a mortgage banker and the core competency of my family is we've been mortgage banking since the FHA (Federal Housing Administration) was created in the 30s. And we keep a database of every FHA mortgage and related security. So we have . . .' He said, 'I've brought you a copy of my database,' and pushed this massive amount of paper . . . Oh my God!

And he says, 'I was part of a group of people in the Bush Administration that got laws passed requiring an audited financial statement.'

So the process had just begun where the agency started saying, 'We can't produce an audited financial statement', but you would get these different reports.

And he said, 'So we've gotten this financial statement from HUD that they've just published' and, he said, 'There is something terribly wrong.'

And he said, he said, 'In the mortgage funds,' - at the time FHA had four, it's now two, mortgage insurance funds - he said, 'They say that they have \$400 billion dollars of outstanding mortgage insurance'. He said, 'You don't understand, it's many multiples of that.'

Kerry: Wow.

Catherine: Now, Kerry, I thought he was crazy, because what he was saying was the New York Fed, The Department of Treasury, The Department of Justice and the Federal Board of Governors were all engaged, you know, with the FHA, in massive securities fraud.

We're talking trillions of dollars of massive securities fraud. So I thought the guy was nuts and I said, 'Oh, no. Keep your database. It's okay. Fine.'

So I was just like, 'Get this nut case out of my office.'

And it took me . . . and then when I ended up in litigation and I couldn't understand why it was so important that they steal our software. You know, we couldn't get it back. This went on for years.

I said, 'Okay, I'm going to unpack what happened in all the different experiences I've had, both as a government official and then as an investment banker dealing with this kind of fraud. And it took me years of unpacking it, figuring it out . . . And I met a lot of spooky people who were doing the fraud who explained to me how it worked.

And I finally put it together and I realized, 'Oh, that's true. All of these different agencies are engaged in massive fraud.'

Now how could that happen and me not know? The way it is done, it is so much through the systems and centralized through the digital systems and the creation of PROMIS software was to me a very critical moment at which, you know, the, the financial fraud could go into hyperbolic, turbo drive, warp speed, you know.

Because you take PROMIS software and those kinds of digital systems and then you add derivatives and, you know, sort of financial - fancy financial engineering, and you're talking about being able to do trillions of dollars of stuff with millions of people helping to implement it when they have no idea what's going on because it's done through the digital systems.

Kerry: Okay, so, where did your software factor in to PROMIS software?

Catherine: Okay, so here's the interesting thing. All of this fraud can happen because the financial system within a place is disassociated from that place.

So let me give you an example: You live in a congressional district. You live in a county. You live in a local area where you drive around and see everything. So, for example, when I first became Assistant Secretary of Housing, I was the largest property manager in the world until the RTC got created and then I'll never forget turning to Lamar Kelly, who was head of Property Disposition for the RTC, and I said, 'Congratulations, you're now No. 1. I'm No. 2.'

And this would happen to us and this would happen to Lamar. You would get a list of all your foreclosures in a place and you would fly to that place and you would go out and there would be an empty lot.

And your inventory says that you have 10 homes there, but it's not. It's an empty lot.

Okay, now, if you, as a citizen, get a financial statement for your place – because one of the biggest investments you make in your life is your taxes. Your taxes you pay. There's no reason why you can't have a financial statement for your congressional district, for your senate, you know, your state, so that you can hold your congressman, or your legislator, responsible for the financial management of the government.

Okay. So you could get a financial statement for New York City. The reason you don't, is because you are given financial disclosure which is completely disassociated from the world you walk around in and see and know every day.

Okay? Because if you were getting an audit-place-space basis, you'd say, 'Wait a minute. What do you mean there are ten homes here and we just spent \$250,000 per home to create these homes with taxpayer money? This lot is empty.'

So it undisassociates a lot of the systems that permit all of this kind of fraud.

Kerry: Okay. So your software was doing this . . .

Catherine: So when I was Assistant Secretary of Housing, I ordered Place-Space Financial Statements be made. And suddenly boom I'm out and the project's canceled.

Kerry: Really?

Catherine: It all stopped.

Kerry: That fast? How fast?

Catherine: Oh, I'd have to go back and look, but pretty fast. Maybe within . . .

Kerry: Within weeks?

Catherine: Yeah, within weeks. You know, a whole bunch of stuff stopped. So I said, fine, I'll just do it privately. We'll just collect up all . . . you know, because most of the data that goes into the federal accounts is suppose to be public. Okay? So we said we'll collect up all the data and the database . . . you know, it's a lot of hard work. It's boring. It's mundane. And now that we have GIS software, we can create this wonderful, you know, playful thing and we'll put it up on the web. You know, the web was just out and we'll just put it up.

And you can go and dial in and collect all the things and download and make these pretty maps and colors and see where all the money is.

And part of thing is we wanted to align the financial system with people and the environment, because you can make money healing the environment or make money making places safer, but you've got to re-engineer the government money.

So we thought even small businesses could see this.

Well, all sorts of amazing things started to happen. So I'll give you an example.

I had a partner, a very successful partner from Wall Street, who flew down in 1996. And we had big monitors. We won an award from the American Institute of Architects . . . you know, we had all these monitors. It was very high-tech. And very beautiful offices patterned after a Japanese teahouse.

So anyway, this guy comes down and he's yelling about how hopeless . . . the government is totally corrupt, and I said - he was originally Cuban. And I said, 'Look, it's not hopeless at all'. I said, 'Where do you live?' and he said, "I live in Bronxville", so we pulled up all the data on Bronxville. And I started to go through it and the first item was \$4 million for flood insurance, and Luiz goes nuts.

He starts screaming in Spanish. I said, 'What's the matter?' And he says, 'Bronxville's on a hill. I've been there for 30 years. There are no floods in Bronxville.'

So . . . because the insurance programs have a lot of the Black Budget fraud. So I don't know about the flood insurance program, but anyway, the next morning I had a call. We downloaded and I gave him a complete download of all the data on Bronxville – all the federal expenditures, the appropriations, the contracts, you know, everything.

The next morning I called Luiz and stands me up for a conference call, which is unheard of. He's always on time.

So, finally, I get through to him that afternoon and I said, 'Luiz, we had a conference call'.

He said, 'I have been on the phone with the Deputy Mayor of Bronxville for four hours. I've gone through every item – item by item'. He said, 'All this corruption's gonna stop.'

I said, 'I thought you said it was hopeless'.

He said, 'That was before I had the numbers for my neighborhood'.

Kerry: Wow.

Catherine: Okay, now. There is not a neighborhood in this country where you cannot find, you know, a contractor doing something for \$25 to \$150 per hour that someone in that neighborhood would love to do for \$10 to \$25, plus healthcare. And that job could be teleported in. Okay?

Or my favorite one is, we, as financial adviser, we were due diligencing the foreclosure properties – and I've got to tell you of another story that that reminds me of - but we were due diligencing the foreclosure properties and we'd regularly find neighborhoods where you could buy and rehab a single family foreclosed property in the FHA inventory when the public housing guys were spending \$250,000/unit in the same neighborhood to build or construct new public housing.

So I went over to the public housing team and I took one of them out to dinner. And I said, I said, 'Look, we could build 4 or 5 homes for the price of one if we just reallocate the money by place'. Okay? Place-based optimization.

And she looked at me and she said, "But how would we generate fees for our friends?"

So I said, 'Let's create 4 homes and just give your friends a check'. You know, it would be cheaper.

But that's because the opportunity is enormous.

Now, I think . . . I believe one of the reasons that you can't do that is because that disassociation has been what the black budget has driven a truck through.

Kerry: Right.

Catherine: And you had so much of this . . . I mean during the bailouts, when you heard numbers like \$27 trillion of bailouts. Well, I think at that time \$8 trillion would have paid off all the mortgages in the country.

So you're talking about the kind of mortgage fraud and securities fraud – both probably with respect to the mortgage-backed securities, Ginnie, Fanny, Freddie and the Treasury securities. So you're talking about, you

know, securities fraud with derivatives of just mind-boggling amounts.

So my theory is, you know, one of the most interesting things that happened to me . . . I spent a long time trying to understand the relationship between mortgage fraud and narcotics trafficking and narcotics trafficking by the intelligence agencies. And one of the things I've discovered is whenever you get a group of soccer moms together in the neighborhood and they try and back the narcotics trafficking out, you know, what they discover is, you know, Tony Soprano is running the drugs and if you back Tony out, the next thing you know you've got James Bond in black helicopters coming down on your head.

And the question was why, you know. And then you realize that, oh, wait a minute. It's a highly centralized model and literally the black budget guys can't have one neighborhood get out because then every neighborhood could get out.

And one of the reasons that I am so big on this idea that it is material omission not to discuss these things, we need to re-engineer our economy. You know, for years we have kept a false prosperity going in this country by being the big consumer in the global market. So China makes money by selling us stuff and we buy that stuff creating debt.

You know we're buying stuff we can't afford. So if you look at the emerging markets they need to increase their consumption and service economy. We need to reduce our consumption and increase our production.

To do that you have to re-engineer the government money by place and you can't do that without . . . The reason Congress is running around looking ridiculous - because there are a lot of talented people in Congress - is because they can't touch the black budget.

I have a great article. I really encourage everybody to read it. It's called "Coming clean over the fiscal cliff". And it gets in to why everybody is so stuck and can't talk about the real deal. And the black budget is the 800-pound gorilla in the room (Yes.) on re-engineering the economy, so we have to talk about this.

And, you know, it's very uncomfortable for me because, you know, I dive into subjects I know nothing about.

One of the reasons I wanted to do the conference is a lot of the people speaking are the people I called to say, 'Well, what should I think about?' You know, I don't know. And they're the people I look to to help me understand this thing, but one of the things I know is we cannot rebalance and get our financial system healthy - let alone align it with the environment or people and living things - without facing and dealing with this. Do you know what I mean?

Kerry: Okay. Absolutely. Well, you know, I mean, because this is really an area that I've gone down the rabbit hole with, (Right.) but you are sort of on the surface connecting all the dots.

Catherine: What I'm saying is when you go down the rabbit hole and look at all this stuff, what I'm saying is all of that is not only financially feasible, because that's how much money is just disappearing. (Yes.) We're talking about trillions and trillions of dollars disappearing.

An entire global financial system organized to align with this rabbit hole, (Yes.) you know what I mean? It . . .

Kerry: It's a drain. You're tracking the surface, but once it goes below the surface, you no longer knows what happens, but you do know that it's draining. You know that you're following the tributaries . . .

Catherine: Right. And that drain, if you look at our economy on an accounting level, that drain . . . it's not just draining the money, (That's right.) It's draining these spiritual life, the civic life, the intellectual life, you know. It's turning . . . nobody can trust each other. You know, it's a corruption. It's a corrosion of the body, of this whole society. It's turning us into a primitive society where the rate of entropy is going, you know, higher and higher and higher.

Kerry: Well, I mean, I call it, you know, a host to a parasite relationship. I mean, that is what's happened.

Catherine: I call it the tapeworm.

Kerry: So the bottom line is that we, or this surface earth, has become the host to a parasite that is now bigger,

more successful – living maybe as far as some of my whistle blowers say 10,000 years in advance of where we are – in other words, we're serving them, you know, literally everything, all our sweat, everything we're doing is going down this drain into this rogue civilization (Right.), right. (Right.)

I know that you know Rich Dolan and he's talked about that. And so this is key.

Catherine: Here's what I don't know, because I've spent many years now traveling a lot globally and in the United States. And what I will tell you is I find no less corruption at the county level, you know.

I've been in a very high level in Washington and Wall Street – not to over estimate. I was, you know, I was a very low level person in that world. (Okay.) And so . . . But what I will tell you is that they were as much a prisoner of the system in many ways as the people down here. Okay?

And you can't explain what's happening. I can't explain what's happening by 'They're bad'. Okay? That's not my experience.

Kerry: Yeah, this is where I used to go crazy because people loved to say, 'Oh, it's their greed'. It's not their greed.

Catherine: No, it's not their greed.

Kerry: No, it's not. It's not about that. It's much beyond that.

Catherine: This is a very complex phenomena. (Yes.) And it's a very deep system. So let's just look at the overt economy.

The overt economy has been on a model of an investment model, which I call the central banking warfare model for 500 years. Everybody. Everything.

No, when you change a model, you know, it's like a whole room dancing that has to change from a waltz to, you know, The Twist. That's, you know . . . and trying to get everybody to do it together without making a mess, you know.

So you're talking about something that is very old and very deep in just the overt economy. God knows what else is going on.

So trying to change this is difficult and the people who are, you know, at or near the top – it's not an easy job and I personally don't know what they are dealing with. That's part of why I keep looking into this subject. That's why I watch Project Camelot.

I'm trying to figure out what is going on and why are these people behaving this way?

Kerry: Well, exactly. Okay, but that gets into like an agenda and the fact is that they don't want to change the dance – at least in the direction that you think they want to change the dance.

So, before we get into that, I still want to stay back at the PROMIS software level because I want to talk about how your software became an issue and how PROMIS kind of – would you say that it gets cobbled onto your software? Or that was the risk.

Catherine: What PROMIS . . . What PROMIS software was . . . PROMIS software was made by a company called Inslaw and then basically stolen by the government. (Right.)

My understanding from Bill Hamilton who was the President, the influence, the leader of the thing . . . What PROMIS software did was to allow you to draw and conform data from lots of different databases. So before relational databases technology really happened, it could create a relational databases from legacy systems. (Yeah.)

My understanding is what they did . . . You know when I first called Bill, because somebody said, 'Okay, you've got to get to Bill Hamilton and understand his part of the thing.'

I called Bill and he said, 'Have you read *'Black Money'* by Michael Thomas?' And I said, 'No' and he said 'Read it

and call me back'. Then he hung up. You know, because it said . . . I don't know if you've read it. It's great beach reading that . . . It gives you a real feel for how this stuff works.

Kerry: Yeah, but I've gone . . .

Catherine: . . . way beyond that. (Yeah.) Okay, but what you were doing is you were creating a digital system – which we now see finally come out with the Snowden revelations – where the U.S. intelligence community could basically move into everybody else's systems, including the bank settlement system – any banks that were on the clearing systems (Law firms?) everybody. (You name it.)

Kerry: You name it. I mean, we're talking police databases. We're talking . . .

Catherine: We're talking putting trapdoors . . . And if you look at the software companies that became successful, they were putting trapdoors in that let everybody in. So the American intelligence community was going into the financial institutions, and everybody else, was sucking up data and I think the power of it was - I have an article called 'The Data Beast' – was that they . . . that they aggregated it with artificial intelligence. (Yes.)

And what they created was a machinery that allowed them to do extraordinary control file systems. You know, so they took the control file system out of the confessional in the local church and moved it into the telecommunication system. That's number one.

But the other thing they did was it allowed them to create the ultimate in both relational marketing and insider trading . . . You know, it gave them a way of managing the economy, which is extraordinary and many people don't understand. (Right.)

So, you know, I used to see in a very poor county, somebody loses their job and within 24 hours the drug dealer's at the door and Chase is mailing them a credit card with a 30% interest rate. You know, how did they know?

Once you understand relational database marketing, you know, most people look at the U.S. government . . . Now, when I was . . . this will come out. I will talk about this in the speech, probably.

When I was Assistant Secretary and then when I was a lead financial adviser to HUD, at that time, a lot of the information systems and the payment systems - the lead contractor at HUD was Lockheed Martin. Okay?

They got, I think when I was Assistant Secretary, about US\$150 million a year just to run the core systems. I would try and get data, and to get data I had to get it from the Lockheed system. I couldn't get it. I was the Assistant Secretary of Housing. Lockheed Martin could deny me the basic data I needed as a legal matter to run my operation – you know, to fulfill my fiduciary obligation. The same thing happened when I was a financial adviser. (Right.)

So, when a lot of people look at the government, they see 21 covered agencies and a group of intelligence agencies. I don't see that. I see 5 contractors with a giant database and everyone of those agencies is a collection cup.

Because now when you go to the government, the government officials are not in charge. Okay? (Right.)

You have a government that doesn't have information sovereignty and it doesn't have financial sovereignty.

So it's very interesting. In the early 2000s, one of the top civil servants at HUD who retired. Just a top guy – really fabulous, talented guy. I was on the phone with him and I explained to him that there was no one left at the Department of Housing and Urban Development, I believed, who had any understanding at that point of how the finances worked.

The year before, \$59 billion had gone missing at HUD.

Okay, so you have reports of massive amounts of money going missing and I said . . . he said, 'This can't be, because . . .', you know, in the old days the budget guys would have stopped it or found it. And I said, 'There's nobody there'. So he said, 'I don't believe you'.

So I made him a bet.

A year later, he's driving down 40 and calls me and says, 'Meet me at Red Lobster in Jackson'. So I drive

He doesn't want to talk on the phone and he says, 'You know, I've called every office and all my old connections', and he said, 'There is no one . . . I can not find anyone in the department who knows, who understands, what is going on in the operations financially.

Kerry: That's right.

Catherine: And I said, 'Because . . .

Kerry: It is not a person.

Catherine: It's all . . . It's all being run by a small number . . . I mean, you've read Edwin Black's book on the Nazi . . . on the Holocaust and how IBM built the database . . .

Kerry: No.

Catherine: Okay. Edwin Black wrote a absolutely fantastic book about how IBM build the database operations that the Nazis used to do the Holocaust. They could never have done the Holocaust without the . . .

Kerry: Really? Okay, so . . . Okay, but I think it's gone a step farther and that's . . . and that's a whole other . . .

Catherine: Who's the lead contractor . . . Who's the lead contractor . . .

Kerry: IG Farben, right?

Catherine: . . . IBM provided the software . . . IBM provides . . . makes some of the software for the smart meters, but was given . . . my understanding was given the lead contract for the Census.

Kerry: Yeah.

Catherine: Okay. So if you look at who's running the databases . . .

Kerry: Yeah, the Nazis are back in power. Right?

Catherine: Let's put it this way, the . . . what's going on is much scarier than what Snowden has revealed.

Kerry: Exactly. Exactly. Okay. Okay. So, but just one . . . You know, just to go back here and that's beautiful, you know. You've covered it beautifully, but let me just tie this up one more time.

Your software, because I'm curious. What happened with your software? Because I have a feeling, and I don't know if you would agree with me, that on some level you were targeted because of your software?

Catherine: I think we were targeted for several reasons, but one was the software. (Yeah.) We were publishing . . . you'll see it in my presentation tomorrow . . . we were publishing maps that showed literally maps of where the defaulted HUD mortgages were in the foreclosures. And was very contiguous like . . . we published one map on the Internet of south-central Los Angeles and, you know, of the . . . and what you could see is that the mortgage fraud was directly related to what was happening with the Dark Alliance drug dealing. And Gary Webb had just published his article and that was all happening . . . I didn't realize it . . . because I was being hit with subpoenas and all sorts of stuff, but we were publishing these pictures of the mortgage fraud and I had no . . . I didn't know anything about the narcotics trafficking. So I would look at the map and didn't realize that these maps were telling things nobody wanted told.

And, of course, that was during the whole debate about the CIA Memorandum of Understanding. (*Special Note: This was a 1982 agreement between the CIA and the Dept. of Justice, in which the DOJ agreed that CIA employees and related people could not be reported for drug trafficking. It allowed the CIA to be involved in drug trafficking to support the Contras in Nicaragua, but it also allowed the CIA to use drug trafficking to 'raise unreported money'. South-central LA was a key territory for this as described by Gary Webb.*)

Kerry: But what year was that?

Catherine: 96. A lot of fiercest . . . They came in and seized . . . You know they kept coming and trying to get control of our digital infrastructure. It's a long complicated story. It's all up on the Internet if you go to www.dunwalke.com I wrote an article and explained it all.

Kerry: Yeah, I read

Catherine: But they seized the offices and I'm trying to remember the date they seized the . . . You know they kept coming in waves, because you could tell they wanted something and they weren't satisfied until they seized the offices. And while they were in the offices, they tried to falsify information. Luckily we caught them, but then they put everything under a digital record. I had to take all of my records . . . nothing. You know, I started off . . . I literally woke up the morning after . . . every piece of data, you know, including my personal address book, was under court control and we had to start from scratch. You know, my Christmas card file (Wow.) – everything was in and it took me 6 years to get those files out of the special master.

Now in the meantime, they put in charge . . . they hired as their contractor to help them manage them, the No. 1 contractor to the U.S. Government on GIS software. Tacky.

So when I finally got them . . . it took 6 and a half years and millions of dollars to get them back. And when I got them back, all the most valuable pieces were gone – forever. (Yeah.) So, you know, they . . .

Kerry: But did your . . . Did they actually steal the software in the end or did you get it back?

Catherine: The software was in many different pieces, so there were some pieces that were gone. There were some pieces I had. And, I took . . . It was funny . . . I approached the Free Foundation Software. I approached the Internet Archive. I approached several places about, you know, sort of taking everything and making it accessible so that open source developers could see what they could do with it. They were scared to death. They wanted nothing to do with it. (Oh, really?) Yeah.

I think it's now that people are beginning to understand . . . First of all, it has taken many, many years for people to believe what I was saying about the extent of the mortgage fraud because it was so extraordinary. You know one of the reasons we wanted to do this conference, Kerry, was so that people could understand how could this hidden system of finance . . . You know this hidden system of finance grew like the fire under the ground and then during 2006 to 2012 it just burst up and it's as though it has overwhelmed the whole, you know, regular system of finance.

I used to say that 911 was how they got the Black Budget off budget to On Budget. If you look at how they changed sort of the financial mechanisms and what happened on 911, really, that was part of it.

Kerry: So the bottom line is . . . you got . . . they took your software and this was one of the ways in which they were able to shut you down, would you say?

Catherine: Right. It was also . . . The most important thing was it made it feasible to dramatically increase the amount of mortgage fraud, because they implemented the MERS system. I don't know if you are aware of what MERS is. (*Note: MERS was the Mortgage Electronic Reporting System, set up by 'a few major banks', that bypassed county recorder of deeds offices and allowed mortgages to be registered in a central database. The problem was, mortgages were added by the thousands as securities in trusts that were sold in CDOs – collateralized debt obligations – to investors around the world. Investors could sell their 'slice' to any other investor – but these transactions were never recorded in MERS, so actually no one really knew who really owned certain mortgages. MERS would just show a trust as the owner.*) (No.) But by implementing the MERS system and shutting down Community Wizard, it meant that they could issue many more mortgages than there were homes.

So let me give you an example:

In 1994, we were . . . my company was the lead financial adviser for the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). And I'd seen the FHA internal plans on increasing the number mortgage originations they were going to do in poor neighborhoods. And several months later, they . . . the same office came out with an announcement where they were . . . had reached an agreement with Freddie and Fannie about how many mortgages they were going to

originate in the same neighborhoods.

And I looked at the numbers, Kerry, and I realized that's more homes . . . You know they were planning on originating more mortgages between Freddie, Fannie and FHA than there were homes.

And I said to a very high level official, I said, 'Wait a minute. You . . . there is something very wrong here. You're talking about . . . You know, people are going to have to refinance their mortgages twice from prison a year to make these numbers.'

And she turned to me and she said, "Shut up! This is none of your business!" (Really!)

Right. Now this is 1994-95 – that time frame. Because if you look at the housing bubble, it took thousands of changes in laws and regulations at the Federal Housing Administration, at HUD, at the Department of the Treasury, at the Department of Justice, at Freddie, at Fannie – the nuts and bolts of engineering the housing bubble took a lot of work.

Okay. So this was coming from the highest levels of the financial system – I mean government. So this was a bubble engineered intentionally by government.

And they knew . . . They knew it was going to be fraudulent. You could not . . .

Kerry: Okay. But what's interesting about that is that so we have at a certain level of government knowledge, but the question is did they know why they were doing what they were doing? In other words, probably maybe they knew payoffs were going certain places and they were suppose to allocate those payoffs, but did they really know the depth to which – or do they even know today – of what they are really a part of?

Catherine: I think what different people know is, you know, it's very - the knowledge is very dispersed. I think what a lot of people thought is we're going to pull as much capital as we can from the developed world and shift it and invest it in the emerging markets. (Really?) Well I think . . . WTO had just been passed. The Uruguay round of GATT had just been passed.

And if I was going to tell you to watch one video to understand the economy today, besides what's going on covertly, watch Sir James Goldsmith in 1994 come to the United States and go on Charlie Rose and basically say, 'This is financial insanity. We're going to destroy our society by doing this kind of . . . By globalizing labor and competing labor in countries where people make a US\$1.00 a day against the developed world. You're gonna basically bankrupt the middle . . . you're going to destroy the middle class in the developed world.'

So this was all understood and known.

And so this was part of what's called the rebalancing of the global economy and I think a lot of people thought we were pulling money out and we're shifting it to Asia. We're shifting it to . . . abroad. But I don't . . . I think the understanding of the extent of the fraud, or of the general model, a lot of people were on a 'need to know' basis and didn't see the big picture.

Kerry: Well, along those lines, did you ever talk to Karen Hudes about what was going on with the IMF? (*Note: Karen Hudes is a former Senior Counsel for the World Bank, then whistleblower, who warned Congress in 2007 that the U.S. ran the risk of losing its privilege of appointing the president of the World Bank if he continued to break the rules. The Board of Governors, consisting of 188 member countries, voted to do exactly that effective April 2010, but it was never reported in the U.S. media.*)

Catherine: No. When I first . . . Somebody first asked me to watch her descriptions when she first started to talk and, frankly, I didn't find her information either compelling or useful and just said, 'I'm . . . I'm not going to . . .' Now I was looking at her stuff at the World Bank, but for anybody to be at the World Bank that long and not notice . . . If you look at what she was talking about as whistleblowing, it was minor stuff a lot - of which I considered irrelevant.

Kerry: But it does have to do with a system, I mean . . . Have you read the 'Economic Hit Man' and all that? (*Note: 'Confessions of an Economic Hit Man' by John Perkins, was a book written by a former officer who worked for the World Bank and maybe IMF and describes how his responsibility was to 'trap' developing countries in debt that they owed to developed countries for leverage over UN votes and access to markets and resources.*)

Catherine: Yes. And that was . . . I wrote an article . . . I was very uncomfortable with that material. And I think it was very useful because, you know, Greg Palestine and Anne Williamson had done some extraordinary reporting on the kind of financial transactions and fraud that the 'Economic Hit Man' book described. But the juice in that book was he was saying 'Well, I was doing it'. Do you know what I mean? So for a lot of people that made it more juicy, but if you look at that first book, he very much stops short and says "Oh, that was sort of a giant accident and people really didn't know what was going on." Which is completely . . . This was being run top down. The people in charge know exactly what they are doing. It's, you know . . .

So it was kind of like a modified hang out and I found it very offensive – particularly because you had great reporters doing great reporting that wasn't modified. (Okay.) Now, what I discovered was, because he'd been doing it personally, I think it was very useful to a lot of people. They'd say, 'Okay, well'. You know they could relate to the intimate story of someone doing the fraud.

Kerry: Oh, yeah, and there was sort of this, you know, spy, you know, in the fold kind of thing going on with it. Okay, so but you . . . so you understood that that was going on. Did you understand it back in the days when you were, you know, in the Housing office?

Catherine: You know something? I never believed that the fraud could be anywhere as bad as it was without me knowing about it. And you also had a certain sense of we're struggling to choose between options that are all bad options. So I certainly had a sense of the covert economy. It's interesting that the Bushies, the first Bush administration . . . there was great effort to kind of keep the covert and the overt separate – you know, separate worlds and a lot of attention was being paid to kind of making it look . . . you know the appearance, and you know you had to be careful.

And it was funny because the Clintons had lived in a small state, which was much more intimate and it was during the Clinton period and I think part of it was that people were just getting more and more tired of the pretense. You know you just blew through a lot of the financial controls and every administration seemed to get more and more kind of out of control. And I think part of it was you literally had this hidden financial system kind of overwhelm and overtake the overt system at some point. So, you know, it was a tendency.

Kerry: Okay. So you kind of road that wave in a sense.

Catherine: Yeah. I kind of believed . . . I wasn't that interested in the fraud. I was like how could we use the new technology to build enough of a learning metabolism economically and realign things so . . . Here's the problem . . . The problem with what's happening in the economy is you are destroying wealth. You're centralizing wealth. So you're building these huge pools of capital but you're destroying wealth.

So what I was interested in was how do we realign so that we can create so much more wealth that we have enough wealth to do extraordinary space program, travel the galaxy – all these different things – but have a healthy life here on earth.

So what I was looking at was where was the jump the curve opportunity to really realign and create wealth. And I couldn't understand why, you know, why is genocide so attractive?

I'll never forget I said to . . . I found a guy who'd been doing the financial fraud for the Office of Naval Intelligence at one point and I said to him, you know, I said, "Harry, you are so clever, I could teach you how to make more money honestly."

And he looked at me like I'd just punched him in the stomach. And he said, "I would never make money honestly." It's like real men do fraud. And I thought, what he said . . . It was kind of like it's not, it's not, you know, it's sissies do honest investments. That's sissy stuff.

Kerry: Yeah, it's kind of like a Mafia don attitude . . . mano a mano we do this. Okay, so, so, but you have also come out recently talking about, you know, the link to black projects much more overtly than you used to and you're also linking it to UFOs. And one of the statements . . . I watched a video in which you're talking about the amount of technology in the skies has to come from somewhere and it's basically how can it be produced on earth – all that hardware as you called it? And, you know, I thought that was a great kind of no-brainer kind of statement, but it . . . that people had been overlooking – people that want to be in denial about all of this.

Catherine: I'm a person who prices everything out. So, you know, if you show me a documentary of lots of different video shots of UFOs, my mind is automatically thinking of 'Okay, how much did it take to build that?' 'How much does it take to run that?' 'What kind of crew does it need?' I'm doing the budget for that thing.

And one of the things I've always . . . A lot of the reason I stayed away from this topic was that I knew nothing about it and intermittently I would go and try to read and I'd just tear my hair out. I'd just, 'I can't make any sense of it. I just can't figure it out.'

And I had no personal experience, you know. So it's kind of a blank. And it took many years but then I found Richard Dolan's book and then I found Joseph Farrell's book.

Joseph and Richard were two of the people and some of the Project Camelot - like the interview we were talking about . . . So I found a series of people who I started to feel were very grounded – the research was very solid. And they could make enough sense out of it to me, so as a financial person I could relate. And so I started to kind of collaborate with them and I don't try and learn that area, but what I do is I try and help them understand the financial stuff and then we . . . you know, because I'm this side of the balance sheet and they are that side of the balance sheet and I'm saying 'Oh, you know, this fits here and this fits here. . . '

So it wasn't until I could find enough people and get it enough distilled so that it could snap on like a puzzle.

Kerry: Right, so you pierced the veil. You started going through and following the money so to speak all the way.

Catherine: Well, here's what happened. I believed that what happened between '92 . . . I mean for many years we've had this hidden system of finance. We had, you know, we went through a period where literally the hidden system of finance overwhelmed the overt financial system. That's what the bailouts and the financial crisis were. And I really feel now that we've gone through what I call a financial coup d'etat – a change of control. (Right.)

And so now we're in a very different world system than we've ever been before.

Kerry: As of when?

Catherine: As of . . . two things. We engineered the bailouts and then the Federal Reserve continued with quantitative easing. I believe had a shredding party, because they're buying up, I think, a huge amount of fraudulent paper and essentially shredding it while it's on their balance sheet. So I think between the bailouts and the quantitative easing we both cleaned up a lot of the fraud, paid a lot of people and things off . . . Think of it this way. It's like a leverage buyout except that instead of you're buying out a company, you're buying out the planet.

So you issue \$40 trillion of fraudulent paper, then you use that to get control of the financial mechanism. Then once you've got control of the financial mechanism, you have the bailouts and you use that to shred and cover up the paper. And then you wait for the statute of limitations to be over and then you're out the other side. The coup d'etat is over. Okay?

And you got your cash when you issued the phony baloney paper. Now it looks like they probably got more cash.

I'm greatly over simplifying, but essentially . . .

Kerry: Okay, what about the idea that some of the money, the bailout money, went to England. You've heard that right?

Catherine: Well, I . . . Here's the big question about where did the money go. And usually in a situation like this it's not just one place. But I suspect . . . so let me give you my number for the financial coup d'etat – was about \$40 trillion that went some place. Now I think some of it went into the emerging markets to rebalance the global economy. So you pull capital out of the mature economy and you reinvest it in the high-growth economy.

So some of it was rebalancing the global economy. But there was enough money left over to basically create a private endowment that could produce dividends and interest sufficient to run a world government without taxpayers. Okay?

So you notice that we go into a budget sequestration and they're talking about cutting the defense budget but Lockheed Martin's stock keeps going up. Why is that? Okay.

So I think these guys saw what was coming and they said, 'Look, we can spend this money on nursing homes, or we can take it out now – pull it out of the retirement funds, pull it out of . . . you know. And create enough of a financial juggernaut that we can continue to run whatever we want to run on a private endowment basis. So that's another possibility.

I think the black budget projects need, as Joseph was talking about, secret money. So it's not just the money that's getting caught out from other agencies and the sort of technical black budget, but it's the secret sources of financing. So I think a huge amount of money has gone there.

Kerry: Well what about the . . . are you aware of the what they call the **trading programs**, the high collateral . . . high yield . . . (High frequency?) Yeah. You know where it's the minimum investment is something like, I don't know, a \$100,000, a hundred million? . . . I don't really know.

Catherine: I've bumped into them and avoided them.

Kerry: You have.

Catherine: Yeah.

Kerry: Okay, but when you say you've bumped into them and avoided them, why are you saying that. I mean because a person like you would seem to be . . . Did you ever read the **White Hat Reports**, for example?

Catherine: No.

Kerry: There's a group called darkcabal.blogspot (Oh, yeah.) and they writing reports about . . . They started to get into where the black money went . . . where the black project money came from. And one of the big things is suppose to be the trading programs. And so I wonder, you know . . .

Catherine: Here's the problem. When you go down . . . When you get into that stuff, you run into a world which is so . . . there's so many different ways to get burnt and there's so much fraud going on, it's almost as though, you know, I'm a person who enjoys an enormous amount of protection. You know, I don't want to go down that road because I'd lose my protection.

Kerry: Really?

Catherine: Yeah. So this is spiritual . . .

Kerry: Okay. Well that's amazing. Well, what about the Golden Lily? I don't know if you heard the whole thing. (Right. I know the whole story.) So when it starts to go Golden Lilly, this is actually trading program money . . . sort of is wrapped in there. You know, Indonesian government trying to bring these bonds . . . you know and the whole Neil Keenan thing. I don't know if you're following that.

Catherine: Here's what I've tried to do. I'm a great believer that, you know, I'm interested in building an economy that builds real wealth. And a lot of the trading programs are basically ways of skimming from the system through a debasement process. And it's a whole kind of draining wealth that I just don't want to be involved in. It's just a . . . You know, whether it's spiritually or financially . . .

Kerry: But you know it's going on.

Catherine: I suspect it's going on. I don't know of a way to get reliable information. I know . . . I know of a way to get tons of information, but I don't know of a way to get any reliable information on it.

Kerry: Okay. So in terms of your situation, though. Because you're . . . maybe you're entering a land that you don't even, you know . . . you don't know the boundaries of that land. You may sense them when feel more danger . . . you're in more dangerous territory than others, but like you say you don't know the lay of the land. You don't know the perimeter. You don't know when you're on the edge of that land.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: Because it is . . . I mean let's face it. Most of us topsiders, so to speak, don't know that. Okay?

Catherine: Right. Right.

Kerry: So but you've skirted onto the edges every once and a while that was like when John, you know, from the Arlington Institute, offered you the luncheon where you might meet some people, right?

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: That was one of those opportunities to see that . . . to go to the edge. Right?

Catherine: Maybe. I mean, we don't . . . you . . . I don't . . .

Kerry: You didn't know if . . . You think that was a way to take you offline?

Catherine: Here's the thing. I don't know if that was a legitimate . . . I have no idea . . .

Kerry: Oh, you don't know if that was a legitimate offer?

Catherine: Right. I don't know if that was a legitimate offer.

Kerry: Okay, fair enough. But at this moment, because you are putting two and two together you would acknowledge that ? their craft up there, right? And they come from somewhere.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: Maybe there are underground bases and no such thing as E.T.s in that land.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: And you can see that there's a budget.

Catherine: Here's what I'm saying. I have subscribers and I have clients. (Yes.) This is relevant to their financial life. (Yes.) In other words, their financial life is in jeopardy and at risk because of this. (Yes.) And I want to make sure they're as safe as they can be and as well as they can navigate, that they have the information and knowledge they need to navigate, but they need to know that this stuff is going on and could impact, you know, their choices in life in . . . you know, whether it's health, where they go to school, what they do with their time, what jobs they get. You know, before they decide they want to go to work for a defense contractor, they better know they could go down this rabbit hole.

So I want them to have an understanding of the opportunities and risks. So to me, I have, you know, this is an ethical issue. I have . . . It is a material omission for me to pretend that this stuff is not happening. Now, I don't begin to understand it. But I do know that we know enough . . . You know, if you read Richard's or Joseph's latest books, we have very credible, serious intellectuals who've done the research, who've aggregated the information. They can read it. They can connect it to their day-to-day life. And they can start to make wiser choices. (Right.)

You know, when I spend a lot of time with people helping them clean themselves up from financial fraud or health fraud or other things that have really hurt them financially or physically, because they didn't know. If they knew, they could have navigated more successfully. They could have . . . no-no, stay away from that.

So I want to make sure that the people I'm responsible for have better maps, because I want to make sure that they choose, you know, they choose what they want . . . You know, they have the map they need to achieve their purpose in life, instead of continually, you know . . . It's like bumper cars out there. You know, they're getting into bumper cars and smashing into each other and making all sorts of choices that waste their time, waste their money, put them in debt, because they didn't know.

Kerry: Right. Well, even this housing thing linked up with the drugs, linked up with the secret government that's using those drugs to funnel into the black projects, etc. They're not going to allow someone like you to set up a database that tracks all that on the surface, right?

And that's in essence your link. So you didn't know you had a link, but now you have a link – a through line, so to speak. And by the same token, they don't know that the aerospace industry is not going downhill. No matter what they say about money not being available, that these contractors are going to be there FOREVER.

Catherine: Here's . . . The last time, you know, I sort of got into this . . . I . . . For many years I've been part of different financial groups that said the economy is going to collapse and I said, 'No, it's not. It's going to slow burn.' And this goes on and on and on and I have many of them who are friends and we're close and they say . . . we go on the radio and they say, 'The economy . . . the financial system is going to collapse,' and I say 'No, it's not. It's going to slow burn.'

And the reason . . . the difference between us is because I understand how they use the information technology. I understand the black projects. I understand, you know . . . It's this understanding that is behind this . . . you know, me saying 'slow burn'. So finally we got into last year and you had just shrieking from these whole groups, 'The economy is going to collapse.' And I said, 'No, it's not going to collapse.' It's not going to collapse at all. Are you kidding?

These guys have just stolen US\$40 trillion. They are sitting pretty. And if you go to the areas of the country where they are reinvesting, the economy is booming.

Kerry: Like here, hello.

Catherine: So I said, you know . . . I finally said I've got to find a way, because I was getting really concerned, Kerry. I would have people come to me who had made really terrible choices because they believed these guys. You know and they're absolutely thinking the end of the world is coming and I said, 'No!'

So I said, for . . . We do an annual wrap up every year on the Solari Reports. So for the annual wrap up, I spent a lot of time with Joseph. You know, I would stop and talk with him and we kind of figured it out. We got this picture of, you know, okay. Here's . . . They've shifted the money out and here's what's growing and this . . . This is growing and this is dying. So there's a transition going on. We called it the Breakaway. The Breakaway. (Yeah.)

And so I . . . I did a big wrap-up where I described the Breakaway and from a point of view of, okay, here are the parts of the economy that are growing and here are the parts that are going to be . . . And a lot of it is organized around the smartphones, believe it or not.

Smartphones are very, very important thing to understand what's going on.

And it was amazing because I then went out to a conference after I prepared a lot of the information and I walked into this room and you had 400 presentations from people about the global financial system saying it's on its last legs. It's going to collapse. And then I got up there and said, "I don't think so."

And I started off . . . in the first part of the presentation I mentioned the black budget. And I wish you'd been there because at the end, the first question a woman stood up and she said 'I'm one of your subscribers and, you're not going to want to hear this, but I don't think anyone in this room has ever heard of the black budget or knows what it is. So could you explain what the black budget is?'

I said that, oh, this is really scary. You know, because . . . And so you have all of these people sitting around making financial choices without the kind of map they need to make wise financial choices.

Kerry: Yeah.

Catherine: So this is bigger because once the financial coup was over, you know, the train left the station. The Breakaway Civilization is proceeding.

Kerry: Absolutely.

Catherine: They are proceeding at lightning speed and we're all sitting around . . . you know. And what we don't understand is 'No, we're being collapsed', but the economy is not being collapsed. So . . .

Kerry: Yes. Their deal is actually, you know, running on steroids at the moment.

Catherine: It's stronger than its ever been. It's unbelievably strong.

Kerry: Yeah. Okay. Well, and that's just, you know, that's just awesome that you get that. You know what I'm saying.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: But what I would say. I would expand one part. And I would say that you're saying financial . . . you know, understanding the financial system is one thing, but also understanding the political . . . in other words 'system'. So you can't make a choice about where to live, (Right.) what part of the globe to live, what government to live under, anything. If you don't understand that there's this whole world going in which, yes, the money is all funneling. But also all the talent.

They're draining the talent pools.

Catherine: Right.

Kerry: The children are being recruited into . . . So it's every layer of our lives. It's not just been one.

Catherine: There's been a change of control. (Yeah.) There's been a change of control and it's very significant and you need to understand that this is happening and . . .

Kerry: It changes everything . . . as you were saying. What choice do you make about a career? Where do you invest your money, as you were saying? Retirement. See this is interesting and I don't know . . . I don't know anything about this area. I don't know anything about insurance, either. That's obviously a huge rabbit hole in itself. (Right.)

But how can you have a retirement when the economy isn't built for that? You know what I mean. It doesn't care about that any more. It's really not about saving people and keeping them alive for x number of years – other than your pharmaceuticals and using them as guinea pigs.

Catherine: Well, I forget the exact . . . I think a woman my age or older, who does not have . . . who does not have a high school education, since 1991, I think their average live expectancy has dropped 6 years. So one way they are going to balance the budget in the Social Security is live expectancy is coming down.

And to me, one of the most important things we can do if we understand what's going on financially in the retirement system and the social safety net, is really take charge of our own health. You know, really take charge of your own health.

Kerry: Absolutely.

Catherine: Because if you do . . . If you really understand that you cannot trust the system, and that you have to be your own doctor, and you have to take the time to really invest proactively and be healthy, it can make an enormous difference.

Another one that I really care about is I've worked with some wonderful young people on, 'Okay here's your budget. Here's how much money you have to get a college and graduate education. What's your learning plan, what do you want to learn, and how do you get that in very granular ways and make it economic?' And what they discover is rather than just go through an automatic route, they just say, 'Okay I need this, and I go here for this thing and this thing and this thing, but I need a fancy degree and I'll get that here,' and suddenly they are navigating the system as a very smart consumer knowing 'I don't take out any student loans. I can't trust the system. They are all going to lie. They are going to trick me'. (Yes.)

And there are 5,000 courses. I can spend 20 years in the university and all I'm going to get is disinformation. (Right.)

One of my favorite subscribers wrote to me and she said, . . . She was . . . She said, 'I'm in college'. And she said, 'I have to take history classes. They're required'. And she said, 'But it's really great. I have all of your stuff on my iPod and when they start to lie I just put in my ear plug and then you tell me the truth and I just sit there and you know . . . '.

Kerry: Yeah. Scary stuff. But it's great . . . It's great to have someone like you who is out there sort of having really had their hand on the pulse of what's going on on this very, very practical level, you know, of everyday life in terms of, you know, I mean. Who would think there is this incredible link between mortgages and housing, certainly in the United States, but I think this is worldwide. We're talking real estate bottom line. You know, and then that that all relates to the drug trade and where that blossoms and then to also black projects and so on. (Right.)

And it must also have to do with, I don't know what you call it, but endowments and where they give money to certain areas of the country getting stuff and the other areas not.

Catherine: The hardest thing for most Americans is they know something is wrong, and they have to spend an enormous amount of time pretending that this official reality is true when they know it's not, you know. But for them they don't want to go there. They're just like, 'Please let me get through the day'. So they need a way of navigating this, which is energizing for them and doesn't waste their time and money.

And so the question is how can we help them see what's going on in a way which is easy. That's why I always use movies. Because one of the things I learned is . . . You know a lot of this stuff is scary or depressing. So how could I use movies because they are just too tired at the end of the day to like look at some of this stuff.

How could I use movies to make it interesting? That's why you doing videos . . . The more we do videos, I think, the easier it is for them. (Yeah.) So, so, so what we need to do is kind of help them escape the Orwellian . . . You know they need to be effective within the system, because they've got to pay the rent. You know, so they are going into the system to get the money to pay their rent and that is how it has to be. But how can they navigate it in a way where they can get along and not seem strange to anybody so they can navigate it successfully. But they know enough so they don't get tricked.

Kerry: Yeah. Exactly. And that becomes the name of the game also. Because they're going to . . . You know, these people are being deceived right, left and center. And that . . . whether it is a health product they buy or some kind of treatment they go for that's just going to kill them anyway, you know, and so on.

Okay. Well, I mean . . . I've kept you for quite a bit of time.

Catherine: This is our ten minutes, right?

Kerry: Definitely. But I want to, you know, just wrap this up in sort of a good way. In terms of your own livelihood, I mean I can see where you kind of . . . you had to go through a time when they took you down. You dealt with it. You fought back and you seem to have been very successful in sort of regaining your footing so to speak.

Catherine: You know, I'm nowhere near where I want to get to. (Okay.) So I want to get to the point where my businesses are thriving and I'm enjoying what I do. You know, the first five years when you're an entrepreneur, you know, you started something and stuff. But it's beginning to finally click again. So I'm very optimistic about where it's going and I like, you know, I like my life.

I work . . . I used to work with people I didn't admire or respect. You know, not when I . . . When I was on Wall Street, I worked with people I very much liked and very much respected. In the Bush administration, I had to work with a lot of people I didn't like and I didn't respect and also, you know, when I was doing Hamilton and Securities Group, I was in Washington. Now I work with people I love and I work for people I love. And I work for people I admire and respect a great deal. And day-to-day I deal with great people.

So, you know, I like to think that the quality of people that I do business with has improved enormously. So I'm in that young period of building, but that's what I like about it. Like the day-to-day. I deal with very enlightened, very nice people.

Kerry: Okay. Now it seems to me . . . I'm just going to give you sort of a challenge here. It seems to me that you're dealing with people like to allow them to sort of adjust to the system but not to buck it necessarily. Maybe buck it sort of in a very covert way such that they can still maintain a facade so that they don't get targeted.

Catherine: So I . . . Here's the thing. I end the Solari Report every week where I say, 'Don't worry about if there's a

conspiracy. If you're not in one, you need to start one. Because I grew up in a world where conspiracies were how we organized everything. Do you know what I mean? And conspiracies weren't necessarily illegal. You know, but you get together with a group of people. You come up with a plan. And then you do it. You know, so, that was the organizing principle of the universe. It's like what's wrong with this? This is great. This is how you do things.

So, you know, what I want to see. What I believe is that we have the power to change this entirely. Okay? Now part of it is to change it, you need to understand it. The reality is I spent my whole life trying to understand who's running things and why they're behaving this way and to this day, Kerry, I don't know this . . . I can't sit down and tell you. (Sure.)

You know, I can engage in conjecture, but I don't know. And that's one of the reasons we wanted to do this conference is to try and improve our . . . you know to lessen how much we don't know. But I still don't know.

But I do know that the human race has the power . . . You know our freedom comes to us from divine authority. And we have the power to choose freedom. Now, I went through that process where I said 'Okay, I'm going to choose freedom and they're going to kill . . .' I was sure . . . I was sure I had no chance to live and I made it, you know.

But it was a miracle. You know miracles happen when you make that choice. So we have to make that choice.

Now, tomorrow . . . I can say this to you because you're a very attractive woman. Tomorrow, if every woman went up and said, 'Every man who is doing anything evil or genocide gets no sex', all of this would stop.

Kerry: Ha, ha, ha.

Catherine: Is it true?

Kerry: Yeah.

Catherine: Yeah, it's true. So the . . . One of the most powerful books I've ever read . . . I'm giving you my whole speech for tomorrow . . . was Robert Axelrod's 'The Evolution of Cooperation' where he says, 'If we bring transparency to who's doing, you know, true evil, they'll be shunned'. Shunned is the most . . .

Kerry: Oh, absolutely.

Catherine: So if the whole human race woke up and said, 'You know, I'm not going along with this. I'm not doing it. I'm not, you know, going to allow it'. Now when we do that we have to have a vision of how we can re-engineer the money so that it can be sustainable. You know, we need to deal with the nuts and bolts of how you make it work. So we need a picture of success where we can get from here to there. But the minute we see that and we start shunning . . .

So what I try and do on the Solari Report is show people where they can gather their power. And the more you just say 'No!'

You know, you sent me . . . I wrote a letter to . . . I couldn't believe it. I wrote a letter to . . . I had worked at Goldman Sachs for the summer and if you're a Goldman Sachs alumni you get an email and you get on their . . . you get their research. And I said, 'You guys are scumbags. Delete me from your list. You know, I don't want your research. Go jump in a lake'. And I then published my letter.

And, you know, I think we need to all do that. We need to hold people . . .

You know, I'll sit down at a dinner party with Goldman Sachs and say, 'You're the scumbags that's responsible . . . I'm amazed that you're not worried that someone will shoot you, you know. And if they do shoot you, I'll try to defend them in a court of law to say it was self-defense'.

So, you know, we need to hold people in our lives accountable. So, so this is a matter of bringing transparency and taking the action. If everybody in America pulled their money out of all the banks that are doing the fraud, it would shift. (Yeah.)

You know, as alternative media, as you, as the Solari Report, as the other people who are here, do what they do,

it's forcing the mainstream . . . I was in the middle of a Texas airport. I was there on business, and I don't own a television set, but I was in the deli in the airport and the deli was turned on to . . . it's one of the top financial shows . . . and it was all my stuff. It was whole sentences and paragraphs from, you know, my most recent stuff.

They're trying to hold the space. Do you know what I mean? And they're having to shift because we're shifting.

So I believe that we have the power to change it, but we need to change it in a way . . . You know take my subscriber. That person's being drained. I need to teach them how to change this to reduce the drain. And every time they reduce the drain, they get more energy to do the next thing. So we need to do this in a way . . . You know, to some people it would seem incremental. But I want them to have successful lives and I want them to thrive. And I want them to thrive by pulling away from the thing that's draining them.

So think of it this way. It's like having a parasite. If you cut off that which is feeding the parasite and draining you, the parasite gets weaker and you get stronger. (Yeah.) And that's what we have to do. So.

Kerry: Okay. All right. I think that's a good ending. Thank you, very much, for your service to humanity.

Catherine: And thank you.

Produced by Kerry Lynn Cassidy

Filmed and edited by Neil Anthony Sanford